## Case 1:24-mj-0011101212 STATES PISTRICITED PAGE 1 of 2

## EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	No. 1:24-mj-00116 EPG
Plaintiff,	
v.	DETENTION ORDER
FELIPE MACIAS,	
Defendant.	
A. Order For Detention After conducting a detention hearing pursuant to 18 U.S. above-named defendant detained pursuant to 18 U.S.C.	S.C. § 3142(f) of the Bail Reform Act, the Court orders the § 3142(e) and (i).
B. Statement Of Reasons For The Detention The Court orders the defendant's detention because it fi  X By a preponderance of the evidence that no condition assure the appearance of the defendant as required By clear and convincing evidence that no condition assure the safety of any other person and the comparison of the defendant as required assure the safety of any other person and the comparison of the defendant as required assure the safety of any other person and the comparison of the defendant as required assure the safety of any other person and the comparison of the defendant as required assure the safety of any other person and the comparison of the defendant as required assure the safety of any other person and the comparison of the defendant as required assure the safety of any other person and the comparison of the defendant as required assure the safety of any other person and the comparison of the defendant as required assure the safety of any other person and the comparison of the defendant as required assure the safety of any other person and the comparison of the defendant as required assure the safety of any other person and the comparison of the defendant as required assure the safety of any other person and the comparison of the defendant as required as	ition or combination of conditions will reasonably d. on or combination of conditions will reasonably
Pretrial Services Report, and includes the following:    X	munition, is a serious crime and carries a maximum penalty controlled substances.  In the serious crime and carries a maximum penalty stant is high.  In the including:  In a mental condition which may affect whether the standy employment.  In the area.  In the area can be tready employment.  In the area can be tready employment.
The defendant has a prior reco	ord of failure to appear at court proceedings.  Sylviolating probation and/or parole.
	VICHALIUS DICUMUUL AUGUU DALUIE.

Defendant: FELIPE MACIAS Case Number: 1:24-mj-00116-EPG Document 12 Filed 12/05/24 Page 2 of 2 Page 2 or 2

	(b) Whether the defendant was on probation, parole, or release by a court;
	At the time of the current arrest, the defendant was on:
	X Probation
	Parole
	Release pending trial, sentence, appeal or completion of sentence.
	(c) Other Factors:
	The defendant is an illegal alien and is subject to deportation.
	The defendant is a legal alien and will be subject to deportation if convicted.
	Other:
	(4) The nature and seriousness of the danger posed by the defendant's release are as follows:
	(5) Rebuttable Presumptions
	In determining that the defendant should be detained, the court also relied on the following
	rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e), which the court finds the
	defendant has not rebutted:
	a. The crime charged is one described in § 3142(f)(1).
	(A) a crime of violence; or
	(B) an offense for which the maximum penalty is life imprisonment or death; or
	(C) a controlled substance violation that has a maximum penalty of ten years or
	more; or
	(D) A felony after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior offenses
	described in (A) through (C) above, and the defendant has a prior conviction of one of
	the crimes mentioned in (A) through (C) above which is less than five years old and
	which was committed while the defendant was on pretrial release
	b. There is probable cause to believe that defendant committed an offense for which a
	maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed
	in the Controlled Substances Act, 21 U.S.C. §§ 801, et seq.,
	the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act, 21 U.S.C. §§ 951, et seq.,
	the Maritime Drug Law Enforcement Act, 46 U.S.C. App. §§ 1901, et seq., or
	an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b.
	an offense involving a minor under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1),
	2245, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2),
	2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
D.	Additional Directives
	Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i)(2)-(4), the Court directs that:
	The defendant be committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility
separate	e, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal;
Separate	e, to the extent practication, from persons awaiting or serving sentences of being neta in custody pending appear,
	The defendant be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel; and
	That, on order of a court of the United States, or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in
	of the corrections facility in which the defendant is confined deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for
the purp	pose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.
Dated:	December 5, 2024 /s/ Sheila K. Oberto
	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE